## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDDIE NALLS,

CIVIL ACTION

Petitioner

v.

MARK KRYSEVIG, et al.,

Respondent

NO. 07-2744

## <u>ORDER</u>

AND NOW, this late day of Scientific

, 2007, upon consideration of the

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, the Commonwealth's Response, inclusive of all exhibits thereto, Petitioner's Traverse, the other documents filed by the parties, and after review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Carol Sandra Moore Wells, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation is APPROVED and ADOPTED;

  (6) VETITIONER', OBSECTIONS ARE SVENEWAY, BEING WITHOUT PLEASE.
- 2. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DISMISSED and DENIED without an evidentiary hearing; and
- 3. Petitioner has neither shown a denial of a constitutional right, nor established that reasonable jurists would disagree with this court's procedural and substantive disposition of his claims. Consequently, a certificate of appealability is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

JOHN P. FULLAM, J.